

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/922,182	08/02/2001	Gregory Maurice Plow	STL920000035US1	7553
7	590 10/06/2004		EXAMINER	
John L. Rogitz			MAMMEN, NATHAN SCOTT	
Rogitz & Asso Suite 3120	ciates		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
750 B Street			3671	
San Diego, CA 92101			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/922,182	PLOW ET AL.	91
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nathan S Mammen	3671	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this com ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Au	<u>ugust 2004</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E			merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-11 and 13-19</u> is/are pending in t	the application.		•
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1-4, 6-11, 13-19 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFF	? 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTC)-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/a	n)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority ariable 55 5.5.5. 3 110(5	, (a) or (i).	
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			tage
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	(50)
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	-atent Application (PTO-1	52)

Application/Control Number: 09/922,182 Page 2

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Pub. No. 2002/0052925 to Kim et al.

The Kim '925 patent publication discloses a method of storing Internet advertisements at a user computer. The method comprises automatically receiving plural Internet advertisements (¶69), saving the plural advertisements at the user's computer (¶75), allowing a user to access the saved advertisements in an advertising history window (¶¶77-8), allowing a user to filter previously displayed advertisements (¶¶106-108), wherein the saved advertisement includes a link to a website (¶106), recalling the saved advertisement, and accessing the website.

Regarding claim 3, 4, 6, 10, 11, 13, 16, 17, 18, 19: The method further comprises displaying a button and displaying an advertisement in response to the button being toggled (¶110). The browser (Fig. 12) has a scroll function. The button is a previous and next button (i.e., "Back" and "Forward").

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 09/922,182 Page 3

Art Unit: 3671

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2, 9, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Pub. No. 2002/0052925 to Kim et al.

The Kim '925 patent publication discloses the claimed invention, as stated in paragraph 3 above, except for the advertisement including an HTML tag. The Kim '925 patent publication clearly states that the advertisements include URLs (¶106), and the publication further discloses that the advertisements may be in HTML format (¶77). Thus, in view of the disclosure of the Kim publication, it would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided the URLs in HTML format. Furthermore, it is notorious to those familiar with the Internet to use HTML for web-based software.

5. Claims 1-4, 6-11, 13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,336,099 to Barnett et al. in view of U.S. Patent 6,317,761 to Landsman et al., both cited in previous office actions.

The Barnett '099 patent discloses a method and system for storing and viewing internet advertisements. Col. 8, lines 15-22. (While Barnett discloses the coupon packages file as comprising coupons and "other types of advertising materials," coupons themselves are advertisements.) The method and system comprise receiving a plurality of advertisements and saving the advertisements at the user computer. Col. 8, lines 29-34. The advertisements are received automatically. Col. 5, lines 35-46 (updating coupons automatically). The user can

access the saved advertisements in an advertisement history window and can filter previously displayed advertisements so that only advertisement corresponding to selected attributes will be displayed. Col. 9, lines 1-33; Fig. 2. The method and system further comprise displaying the advertisements in response to the user toggling a display button. Figs. 2 and 4B. The advertisements are available offline. Fig. 4B. What the Barnett '099 patent does not disclose is that the advertisements include HTML tags and that the advertisements are viewed in a browser window that can click on the tags to access a website. The Landsman '761 patent also discloses a method for storing and viewing Internet advertisements. Furthermore, the Landsman '761 patent teaches that it is known to automatically provide advertisements to a user without a user requesting them. The method comprises receiving plural Internet advertisements (col. 9, lines 56-58), with at least one Internet advertisement including a HTML tag (col. 9, line 64), and saving (col. 10, lines 12-24) the advertisements at the user computer at least partially based on the tag. The advertisements are viewed using browser software such as INTERNET EXPLORER or NETSCAPE (col. 16, lines 34-39); thus, the method and system of Landsman inherently comprises logic means for displaying the ads in response to toggled buttons, allowing the user to scroll through the advertisements, and displaying a "previous" and "next" button and accessing the advertisements in response to the toggling of these buttons since these are notoriously inherent functions of the aforementioned browser software. The saved advertisement also has a link to a website, and the website is accessed when the link on the advertisement is toggled (col. 17, lines 27-36). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the browser-based advertisement display system and method disclosed in Landsman into the downloadable advertisement method and

system disclosed by Barnett, in order to provide the users of the Barnett advertisement system with a familiar interface and an ability to access further advertisement information through the hyperlinked tags.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 8/17/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding Applicant's arguments that the Barnett patent does not "automatically" receive advertisements, Applicant's attention is directed to Col. 5, lines 35-46, of Barnett, which discloses automatic downloading. Furthermore, beyond what the Barnett patent discloses, the Landsman patent is entirely about automatically downloading advertisements. Therefore, automatically downloading advertisements would also be obvious over Barnett in view of Landsman, as stated above.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan Mammen whose telephone number is (703) 306-5959. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will, can be reached at (703) 308-3870. The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/922,182 Page 6

Art Unit: 3671

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-1113.

Thomas B. Will
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600

NSM 9/29/04

Nathan S. Mammen